



## **Does the Bible Uphold Evolution?** by [John T Polk II](#)

*All Scriptures and comments are based on the **New King James Version**, unless otherwise noted.*

**Question: Does the Bible teach anything that upholds "Evolution"? I have read where some people say it does, but others say it doesn't.**

**Answer: No, the Bible teaches completely contrary to the doctrine of "Evolution."**

Evolution, the U.S. Government's official "godless religious" doctrine of Creation, is espoused in tax-payer-subsidized public schools, textbooks, universities, and museums, and was popularized in 1859 by Charles Darwin's **Origin of the Species**. It is a totally false explanation of the creation of life on Earth completely by "natural causes" and thus without any regard for a Divine Creator. It provides no room for God, miracles, Jesus Christ, souls, sins, and salvation. To the people who mistakenly say that one can believe the Bible and Evolution, I quote Darwin who said,

I did not then [while a college boy, jtpII] in the least doubt the strict and literal truth of every word in the Bible (Autobiography). [But after his book's popularity] Charles Darwin gradually lost his faith in God. (p58, 77, **Evolution the Great Debate**, by Vernon Blackmore & Andrew Page, Lion Publishing Corp., 1705 Hubbard Ave., Batavia, IL 60510, c1989)

Today, with movies, toys and so called "scientific" television programs ubiquitously identifying dinosaurs with a "Jurassic era" of Evolution, children are not allowed to forget the fable of creation they have been taught in school.

***There is not one scientific nor scriptural fact that supports, demonstrates or encourages Evolutionary doctrine.***

Evolution assumes matter was already in existence, but it suddenly exploded in a "big bang." But no scientific fact permits one to believe that matter is eternal! Instead, the second law of thermodynamics (entropy) demonstrates a continual wearing down, or disorganization, of all physical interaction. All matter had to have a "beginning," and the Bible argues this from its very first verse!

In the beginning God created [Hebrew, "bring into existence from nothing", jtpII] the heavens and the earth (**Genesis 1:1**).

Also, **Hebrews 11:3** shows that what is "seen" (matter) did not come from itself. Life has never come from non-living matter in either laboratory experiments or observation in life, yet this is a cornerstone "fact" of Evolution. ***There is absolutely no scientific data upon which to base even a theory that life could come from non-life***, so Evolutionists keep fabricating huge quantities of time (again, without any

scientific fact or rational reasoning) evidently hoping to obscure this point! No amount of time could elapse that would produce something alive from non-living material.

Evolution teaches that mankind descended from apes, and a principle termed "**natural selection**" accounts for the differences between the two, but there is no fossil, DNA, or cloning fact to prove it! Darwin taught,

Prof. Huxley, in the opinion of most competent judges, has conclusively shewn [sic, jtpii] that in every visible character man differs less from the higher apes, than these do from the lower members of the same order of Primates. ... The conclusion that man is the co-descendant with other species of some ancient, lower, and extinct form, is not in any degree new (*Introduction*, Page 2, **The Descent of Man** by Charles Darwin, D. Appleton & Company, New York, Authorized Edition, Revised and Augmented, complete in one volume, 1896).

[Huxley said,] Without question, the mode of origin, and the early stages of the development of man, are identical with those of the animals immediately below him in the scale: without a doubt in these respects, he is far nearer to apes than the apes are to the dog (*ibid*, Part 1, Chapter 1, Page 11).

## 1. The Bible says,

**All flesh is not the same flesh, but there is one kind of flesh of men, another flesh of animals, another of fish, and another of birds (1 Corinthians 15:39).**

No amount of "gene-splicing" or transplants, without being artificially supported to survive, is able to overcome this scientific and Biblical fact. No fossil has ever been discovered which shows that humans descended from or were in the lineage of any animal, fish, or bird. In fact, there would be no fossil record (vast deposits of suddenly deceased and preserved remains) without some cataclysm capable of causing such deposits. The worldwide flood in Noah's day is a perfectly adequate cause for fossil formation (**Genesis 6-9**).

## 2. The Bible says

**So God created man in His own image; in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them (Genesis 1:27).**

[From among all the animals] for Adam there was not found a helper comparable to him (**Genesis 2:20**).

The human body was "formed" from "the dust of the ground" and National Aeronautic and Space Administration's (NASA) Ames Research Center, after extensive laboratory testing, revealed that every element in the human body is found in soil!<sup>1</sup>

If Evolution is true, then man would not have developed things "non-essential" to his survival, i.e., soul, sins, salvation, worship of God, or perfection as in Jesus Christ; for man would only be a more highly developed ape, but still merely an animal. Thus, to kill and eat humans is no different whether done by a human or an animal.

[It never ceases to amaze this writer how public schools can program children's minds to believe everyone is merely an animal yet react with shock and horror at the carnage of "school shootings" that are committed with "hunting rifles!" If murderers are to be condemned it is because men are made in God's image (**Genesis 1:27; 9:6**), not because of Evolution! Those who glorify, rationalize, or "normalize" things like murder or cannibalism (or both as in the movie *Silence of the Lambs* and its sequel) cannot believe in Bible Creation. jtpII]

Evolution's "god" is eternal matter and ***its laws of "natural selection" and "survival of the fittest" have never been demonstrated to be scientifically accurate.*** Instead of "survival of the fittest," which in humans causes hatred and violence, Jesus Christ has taught "salvation of the weak" (**Matthew 7:12; 25:31-46; 2 Corinthians 12:7-10**), and "repentance of the meek" (**Luke 6:27-36; 24:46-47**).

Evolutionists, claiming to trace mitochondrial DNA (mDNA) up its human family tree an estimated 200,000 years, have concluded:

The oldest female ancestor of living humans [was, jtpii]- an African woman quickly dubbed Eve. ... Indeed, there have been many Adams and many Eves. The genetic record reflects only those whose offspring survived and reproduced... 'It's rather distressing to find that Eve could not be the wife of Adam,' says Luigi Luca Cavalli-Sforza, a professor emeritus at Stanford University and pioneer of population genetics.<sup>2</sup>

This supposed "new discovery" is a more detailed look at data, but it is the same prejudiced Evolutionary conclusion that Darwin had. He said,

It is therefore probable that Africa was formerly inhabited by extinct apes closely allied to the gorilla and chimpanzee; and as these two species are now man's nearest allies, it is somewhat more probable that our early progenitors lived on the African continent than elsewhere. (**The Descent of Man** by Charles Darwin, Part 1, Chapter VI, page 155)

The Bible teaches that Eve was made from a rib of Adam (**Genesis 2:22-23**) and was "the mother of all living" (**Genesis 3:20**). One rib, one woman, one mother of humanity is all there could be. But Evolutionists cannot admit the Bible is right and therefore, stealing the concept of an "Eve" from the Bible, they concoct their own "Eves" none of whom, they say, could have been "married" to the one Adam (**Genesis 2:24**). The Bible teaches that all humans came from the same parents, Adam & Eve, and all humans after the Flood began through Noah's three sons and their wives (**Genesis 9:18-19**). Scientific and Biblical facts prove all "races" have "one blood" (**Acts 17:26**) and that external variations in appearance mean little, if anything. It is Evolution which places emphasis upon physical "differences" between humans in determining who appears to be closer to the "primitive" relative than the others. **"German master race-ists," Nietzsche and Adolph Hitler, were Evolutionists!**

Evolutionists throw "guess-timates" of millions and billions of years as though they were accurately arrived at, but one fossil fact proves them all wrong and the Bible all right. The fossil records show animals existing along with humans, exactly what the Bible creation account records! Within the same week that the Earth was created, animals and humans were made (**Genesis 1**). **According to the Bible, there has**

**never been an extended period of time (such as those Evolution requires) when earth was not occupied by both animals and humans!** There is no "pre-historic" anything, for humans have been on earth with communication skills as long as Earth has been in existence.

*The arrogance and prejudice of Evolutionists is seen in their dogmatic claim that, whether or not the facts support the conclusion of Evolution, they will not give it up as their doctrine.* In a religion, this would be termed "blind faith," or "a leap in the dark." Charles Darwin said it is like this:

The main conclusion here arrived at, and now held by many naturalists who are well competent to form a sound judgment, is that man is descended from some less highly organized form. The grounds upon which this conclusion rests will never be shaken, for the close similarity between man and the lower animals in embryonic development, as well as in innumerable points of structure and constitution, both of high and of the most trifling importance- the rudiments which he retains, and the abnormal reversions to which he is occasionally liable- are fact which cannot be disputed (*ibid*, Part III, Chapter XXI, Page 606-7)

The choice between God and Darwin is whether one is in the image of animals or God, and therefore whether one is an animal or can be "partakers of the divine nature" (**2 Peter 1:4**).

Not only is Evolution an unscientific approach to Creation, but it is an idolatrous religious belief that exchanges:

... The truth of God for the lie, and worshipped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen." (**Romans 1:25**).

It is reprehensible for Evolutionists to claim to be "scientific" or for them to claim to know that the Bible cannot be right-

[They] are mistaken, not knowing the Scriptures nor the power of God" (**Matthew 22:29**).

As I did in 1996 (*Gospel Gleaner*, Volume 12, Number 4) **I reissue the following challenge to debate, either orally or in print, some qualified evolutionist** on the following proposition:

"RESOLVED, the Creation account in Genesis 1-3 is consistent with all scientific fact and Biblical faith."

**Affirm: John T. Polk II**

**Deny:** \_\_\_\_\_

--- **John T Polk II**

*published in the **Gospel Gleaner**, 2001, Volume 17, Number 2)*

----- References:

1 Readers Digest, November 1982

2 "Where We Come From", cover story for *US News and World Report* magazine,  
1/29/2001