



QA: When And Where Is Armageddon To Take Place? by [John T Polk II](#)

*All Scriptures and comments are based on the **New King James Version**, unless otherwise noted.*

QUESTION: When and where will the Battle of Armageddon take place?

ANSWER: There will be NO future "Battle of Armageddon," nuclear or otherwise, because:

1. The only reference to "Armageddon" is in the Book of **Revelation 16:12-16**. The Book begins and ends with everything contained in the Book happening "shortly" (**1:1; 22:6**), "quickly" (**2:5; 22:7**), "at hand" (**1:3; 22:10**). The Book of **Revelation** specifically dealt with events that happened in the First Century, before the destruction of Jerusalem in A.D. 70. **There is no future "Battle of Armageddon."**
2. Though "the kings of the earth and of the whole world" are gathered together "to the battle of that great day of God Almighty" the:

Birds that fly in the midst of heaven were called together to eat the flesh of kings, the flesh of captains, the flesh of mighty men, the flesh of horses and of those who sit on them, and the flesh of all people, free and slave, both small and great. And I saw the beast, the kings of the earth, and their armies, gathered together to make war against Him who sat on the horse and against His army [... who were then destroyed.] (**Revelation 19:17-21**)

The figuratively expressed "war" against God has already been decided! **There is no future "Battle of Armageddon."**

3. Jesus has already defeated the Devil: by quoting Scripture face-to-face (**Matthew 4:1-11; Mark 1:12-13; Luke 4:1-13**); by casting out demons (**Matthew 8:16-17; Luke 10:17-20**); and by His resurrection (**Romans 6:9; Hebrews 2:14-15**).

He who sins is of the devil, for the devil has sinned from the beginning. For this purpose the Son of God was manifested, that He might destroy the works of the devil. (**1 John 3:8**)

Everyone who teaches that there will be a future battle with the forces of evil to prove God's supremacy over the Devil is teaching a doctrine whose consequence is that Jesus came to this earth and failed to do what He was sent to do! There is no future "Battle of Armageddon."

4. The same passage that mentions a "battle" and "Armageddon" also mentions "the great river Euphrates" drying up, "three unclean spirits like frogs," "the dragon," "the beast," "the false prophet," and walking "naked." Whose armies are composed of "frogs," "dragons," or battling "naked?" **Why is a gathering for battle to Armageddon taken literally but the rest of that passage is not?** Truly, Peter described such piecemeal Scripture mishandling:

Untaught and unstable people twist to their own destruction, as they do also the rest of the Scriptures. (**2 Peter 3:16**)

5. When a politician tries to tie a Battle of Armageddon to any kind of earthly warfare, he/ she proves Jesus right: "You are mistaken, not knowing the Scriptures nor the power of God" (**Matthew 22:29**). AND they prove that there is no "separation of church and state" for they (the State) crossover into Bible teaching for their political purposes, but show their hypocrisy when they persecute Bible believers who rightly apply Scriptural teaching to the sins of those in the State! **There is no future "Battle of Armageddon."**

—-- *jtpll, October 16, 2022*